arXiv:1604.06597v1 [math.GT] 22 Apr 2016

[1]

A NOTE ON A MAP FROM KNOTS TO 2-KNOTS

VASSILY OLEGOVICH MANTUROV

1. Introduction. Basic Notions

The aim of the present paper is to construct a well defined map α from classical knots to (some generalization of) 2-knots, which naturally works for any classical knots in codimension 2. This map works well for braids and tangles; besides, it is natural with respect to the boundary operation. In particular, if a classical knot K is *slice* (i.e., can be spanned by a 2-dimensional object in four-dimensional half-space bounded by the 3-space where K lives) then the corresponding object $\alpha(K)$ can also be bounded by a some trivial 2-dimensional object. This leads to sliceness obstructions for classical knot.

This work naturally is closely connected with [1], where various invariants of *dynamical systems* valued in *groups* were constructed. The main example from [1], see also [**?**], is the construction of an invariant of classical braids valued in a certain group G_n^3. Braids are considered as motions of points on the plane; the generators of this group correspond to those moments where some three points are collinear (form a horizontal quadrisecant); in some sense the abstract 2-knots (diagrams modulo moves) constructed here represent the "knot" counterpart, whence group elements (words modulo relations) are the braid counterpart.

Definition 1.1. A knot $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is called *slice* if there is a 2-manifold M^2 with boundary properly embedded in $\mathbb{R}^4_+$ such that the image of ∂M^2 coincides with $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3 = \partial \mathbb{R}^4_+$.

Now, we pass to *abstract surface knot diagrams*, see,e.g.,[2].

Definition 1.2. Let F be a 2-surface; a *double decker set* is a 1-complex $D \subset F$ together with a pasting rule such that:

(1) the pasting is an equivalence relation for D which is continuous with respect to the topology of F;
(2) each equivalence class consists of one, two or three points from D; these sets are denoted by D_1, D_2, D_3, respectively, points from D_2 are called *double points*.
(3) $Card(D \backslash D_2)$ is finite; $D_1 \sqcup D_3 \subset \bar{D}_2$;
(4) elements of each equivalence class are ordered; the ordering is continuous with respect to the topology of F. For each two pasted points d_1, d_2 such that $d_1 > d_2$ we say that d_1 *lies over* d_2;
(5) let (d_1, d_2) be a *double point* of D, say, $d_1 < d_2$; then in the neighbourhoods of d_1 and d_2 the double decker set represents two open intervals; these intervals consist of double points; points from one interval are identified with points from the other interval.

[1]This research is supported by the Russian Science Foundation, Project No. 16-11-10291

Definition 1.3. For a surface F with a double decker set we naturally get a 2-complex $F^{\sim}$ obtained by identifying all equivalent points.

Such a 2-complex endowed with additional over/under structure is called an *abstract* 2*-surface diagram.*

Remark 1.4. From the definition above it follows that D_1 consists of *cusps*; a neighbourhood of a cusp topologically looks like a circle $|z| < 1$ where z is identified with $-z$ with $z = 0$ being the cusp point; similarly, triple points topologically look like the intersection of three hyperplanes in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

As for double points, they naturally form *double lines* which are 1-manifolds possibly having boundary at cusps and triple points (as $K_1 \sqcup K_3 \subset \bar{K}_2$).

An abstract knot diagram is not assumed to be embedded anywhere. Nevertheless, a local neighbourhood of any point of F can be embedded in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Thus, it is natural to depict local parts of an abstract 2-surface in a way similar to projections of 2-knots in 3-space. They naturally appear as an general position orthogonal projection of a 2-knot in $\mathbb{R}^4$ to some 3-space; points having more than one preimage are identified; the partial order relation is defined with respect to the projection coordinate.

Usually, we deal with 2*-surface knot diagrams* when F is connected and 2*-surface link diagrams* when F consists of connected components $F = F_1 \sqcup F_2 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup F_n$; here we say that n is the number of components.

Roseman moves [3] are initially defined for 2-knots in 3-space.

However, they are *local* and can be applied to abstract knot diagrams.

Theorem 1.5 (Roseman [3])**.** *Two* 2*-knot (resp.,* 2*-link) diagrams* D, D' *represent isotopic* 2*-knots (resp.,* 2*-links) if and only if one can get from* D *to* D' *by a finite sequence of* Roseman moves*, shown in Figs. 1–7, and isotopies in* $\mathbb{R}^3$*.*

Later on, we make no difference between combinatorially equivalent (abstract) knot diagrams.

Instead of giving a definition of a 2-knot diagram, we shall pass to a more general definition (for abstract knots).



FIGURE 1. The first Roseman move $\mathcal{R}_1$: elliptic move of type Ω_2.

Definition 1.6. An *abstract surface-knot* is an equivalence class of abstract surface diagrams modulo Roseman moves. Namely, two diagrams D, D' are called *equivalent* if there is a sequence of diagrams $D = D_1 \to D_2 \to \cdots \to D_n = D'$, such that each two diagrams D_i, D_{i+1} are related by a Roseman move.



FIGURE 2. The second Roseman move $\mathcal{R}_2$: hyperbolic type Ω_2 move.



FIGURE 3. The third Roseman move $\mathcal{R}_3$: elliptic Ω_1 move.



FIGURE 4. The fourth Roseman move $\mathcal{R}_4$: hyperbolic Ω_1 move.



FIGURE 5. The fifth Roseman move $\mathcal{R}_5$: Ω_3 move.



FIGURE 6. The sixth Roseman move $\mathcal{R}_6$: crossing the branching point.

2. The case of 1-Knots

Let S^1_ϕ be the angular unit sphere whose points are identified to unit vectors $e^{i\phi}$. Sometimes we also use the notation $-\phi$ for $\phi + \pi$.

Let K be a knot in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Later on, we shall require some *general position for* K. We shall be especially interested in the height function for K; whenever mentioning "minimum" or "maximum" we assume extrema with respect to this function. Let $S(K) = T^2 = S^1 \times S^1_\phi$ be the torus where the first coordinate corresponds to the knot K and the second coordinate is ϕ for $S^1 = S^1_\phi$.

Now, we make an *abstract* 2*-knot diagram* $D(K)$ of $S(K)$, as follows. We define the equivalence for pairs of points $(k, \phi), (k', \phi), k \in K, \phi \in S^1$ that k and k' are points on K lying on the same horizontal plane, and ϕ is such that the pointing



FIGURE 7. The seventh Roseman move $\mathcal{R}_7$: the tetrahedral move.

vector from k to k is collinear with ϕ (or $-\phi$); hence, if $(k,\phi) \sim (k',\phi)$ then $(k,-\phi) \sim (k',-\phi)$ and vice versa; moreover, if (k,ϕ) *lies over* (k',ϕ) then $(k,-\phi)$ lies under $(k',-\phi)$. We are going to identify the equivalent points;

Definition 2.1. We say that $K \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is in *general position condition* if the above identification leads to a double decker set. Namely, we require that

(1) No four points $(k_1,\phi),(k_2,\phi),(k_3,\phi),(k_4,\phi)$ are equivalent. In other words, this means that K has no horizontal quadrisecant.
(2) The number of triples (k_1,ϕ) (k_2,ϕ) (k_3,ϕ) is finite; this means that K has finitely many horizontal quadrisecants.
(3) There is a finite number of *cusps*;
(4) All other double points $X_1 = (k_1,\phi) \sim (k_2,\phi) = X_2$ are *regular* in the following sense. Topologically, the set of double points in the neighbourhood of X_1 is homeomorphic to an open interval as well as the set of double points in the neighbourhood of X_2, and these two intervals are identified.

Remark 2.2. Note that regular points can correspond to *local extrema*. For instance, assume K locally looks like the graph of the parabola $z = x^2, y = const$ and another branch of K looks just like a vertical line $x = y = 1$. Let $X = ((0,0,0),\frac{\pi}{4})$ be origin with the vector pointing to the point $(1,1)$. Then the neighbourhood of X consists of two parts, the one with $(\varepsilon,0,\varepsilon^2)$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ and the one for $\varepsilon < 0$; in both cases the vector pointing to $(0,0,\varepsilon^2)$ is close to $\frac{\pi}{4}$. Then D_2 will locally consist of two double lines, one of which corresponds to the neighbourhood of $\frac{\pi}{4}$ and the other one corresponds to the neighbourhood of $-\frac{\pi}{4}$.

By $\alpha(K)$ we denote the abstract 2-knot represented by $D(K)$.
Now, we are ready to formulate the main theorem.

Theorem 2.3. *If knots K and K' are isotopic in $\mathbb{R}^3$ then the abstract surface 2-knots $\alpha(K)$ and $\alpha(K')$ are equivalent.*

The proof consists of case-by-case consideration of all situations where K fails to be generic. As an example, we say that if we pass through a horizontal quadrisecant passing through some four points $a,b,c,d \in K$ with the same z-coordinate, then yields two "antipodal" seventh Roseman moves, see Fig.7. Indeed, denoting the angles of the quadrisecant by ϕ and $-\phi$, we can see four sheets of the surface

$D(K)$ in the neighbourhoods of $(a,\phi),(b,\phi),(c,\phi),(d,\phi)$. These four sheets have four double points, and the effect of the passing through the quadrisecant is the inversion of the tetrahedron.

The same happens for the direction $-\phi$.

Now, the same definition and the same proof generalizes for many other objects: braids, tangles, and even 2-knots and knots in higher dimensions. For tangles (or knots in $\mathbb{R}^2\times[0,\infty)$) we assume K to be a properly embedded (into $[0,1]$ or $[0,\infty)$) collection of closed intervals; the resulting object $\alpha(K)$ will be an equvalence class of corresponding 2-surface diagrams with boundary; braids are a special case of tangles.

3. Dimension 2

More importantly, the same definition works in higher dimensions.

Let K be a 2-knot in 3-space. Here, we are interested in planes $z=const, t=const$. As before, we let $S(K)=K\times S^1_\phi$, where the first coordinate corresponds to the knot K and the second coordinate is ϕ for $S^1=S^1_\phi$.

For each point $x\in K$, we consider the plane $z=const, t=const$ passing through this point. In general position, for each plane $P=\{z=c_1,t=c_2\}$, the intersection $K\cap P$ consists of finitely many points.

We are not going to give the formal definition of the abstract surface 3-diagram and an abstract surface 3-knot (link). They are quite similar to the 2-dimensional case. However, one should point out that the set of Roseman moves in higher dimension which originates from codimension 1 singularities for 3-knot projections to 4-space, is finite and all such moves are local (The same works in any dimension). As in the 2-dimensional case, *abstract 3-knot* is the equivalence class of diagrams modulo moves.

As before, we identify those points (k,α) and (k',α) if k and k' have the same third and fourth coordinate.

We can think of this map as follows. Having a 2-knot K, we can consider its slices $K_c=K\cap\{t=c\}$; for generic c, these slices are just 1-links in 3-space; we can think of K as "glued" of all K_c for distinct c. Thus, $\alpha(K)$ is glued in the same way from distinct $\alpha(K_c)$.

Theorem 3.1. *If 2-knots K and K' are isotopic in $\mathbb{R}^4$ then the abstract 3-knots $\alpha(K)$ and $\alpha(K')$ are equivalent.*

The main observation is that for each neighbourhood $(\phi-\varepsilon,\phi+\varepsilon)$ the picture of $\alpha(K)$ can be represented as a collection of sheets in the Euclidean space. Thus, whenever we perform some isotopy of K and restrict, every combinatorial diagram can be drawn in the Eucludean space and is subject to local moves in these Eucliedan space (for dimension 2 these are exactly Roseman moves).

Theorem 3.2. *If K is slice then $\alpha(K)$ is slice.*

The above generalization for the case of 2-knots works as well for any n-manifold M^n embedded in some fixed space of $\mathbb{R}^2\times N^n$ for some manifold N^n; the only thing we need here is the existence of the "first" two coordinates x,y so that we can take "horizontal" slices $\mathbb{R}^2\times\{*\}$ which intersect $M^n\subset\mathbb{R}^2\times N^n$ at finitely many points.

References

[1] V.O.Manturov, Non-Reidemeister Knot Theory and Its Applications in Dynamical Systems, Geometry, and Topology, *http://arxiv.org/abs/1501.05208*

[2] N.Kamada, S.Kamada, Abstract Link Diagrams and Virtual Knots, *J.Knot Theory Ramifications*, Vol. 9, N.1 (2000)

[3] D. Roseman, "Reidemeister-type moves for surfaces in four-dimensional space", *Knot Theory*, Banach Center Publications, Vol. 42 (Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, 1998), pp. 347–380

[4] D.Roseman, Elementary moves for higher-dimensional knots, *Fund. Math.* **184** (2004), p.291-310.

V.O.Manturov, Chelyabinsk State University
E-mail address: vomanturov@yandex.ru